v,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/652,477	SOKOL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hung T. Nguyen	-2636
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Final Rejection</u>	
(1) <u>Hung T. Nguyen</u> .	(3) doughlas H. Goldhush	<u>1</u>
(2) <u>Magid Ibassam</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 August 2004	Time: <u>1 P.M</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claims 1-2 & 6		
Prior art documents discussed: Ahne et al. (U.S. 6,133,844) , Naylor (U.S. 5,506,767) and Ross e tal. (U.S. 5,027,112)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant will submit amendment after the Final Rejections.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(, (bbillogilia	· Abusant a Lobieschianse Oli	mature – ii appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)